LIHEAP Performance Data Case Study Hands-on Exercise QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS SHEET

The goal of this exercise is to help you understand the performance of your state's LIHEAP program. During this exercise, you will observe and interpret different trends in your state's data between 2012 and 2016. Please choose a state that one of your team members is from and answer the following questions about the chosen state.

•	gram, you want to see how many ance, the amount of funding your
he nearest thousand).	nouseholds that received heating What changes do you notice? Has bout the same?
louseholds Receiving Heating Assistance (in thousands)	
	he nearest thousand). reased, or remained a louseholds Receiving Heating Assistance

Question 2: Please record the total funding for heating assistance between 2012 and 2016 in the following table (rounded to the nearest million). What changes do you notice? Has the amount of funding for heating assistance increased, decreased, or remained about the same?

Fiscal Year	Total Funding for Heating Assistance (in millions)
2012	
2013	
2014	
2015	
2016	

Between 2012 and 2016,	the total funding for heat	ting assistan	ce	(increased / decre	ased/
remained the same) by \$_		or	%.		

Question 3: Please record the average heating assistance benefit between 2012 and 2016 in the following table. What changes do you notice? Has the average heating assistance benefit increased, decreased, or remained about the same?

Fiscal Year	Reported Average Heating Assistance Benefit
2012	
2013	
2014	
2015	
2016	

Between 2012 and 2016, the average heati	ing assistance	e benefit	(increased /	decreased /
/ remained the same) by \$	or	%.		

To better understand the overall performance of your state's LIHEAP program, you will also want to look at the number of income-eligible households in your state and the percent of income-eligible households served.

<u>Question 4:</u> Please record the number of federally income-eligible households in your state between 2012 and 2016 (rounded to the nearest thousand). What changes do you notice? Has the number of income-eligible households increased, decreased, or remained about the same?

Fiscal Year	Number of Federally Income-Eligible Households (in thousands)
2012	
2013	
2014	
2015	
2016	

Between	2012	and	2016,	the	number	of	income-eligible	households	5		(increased	/
decreased	d/ rem	ained	the so	ime)	by		househo	olds or		%.		

Question 5: Please record the percent of income-eligible households served between 2012 and 2016. What changes do you notice? Has the percent of income-eligible households increased, decreased, or remained about the same?

Fiscal Year	Percent of Federally Income-Eligible Households Served
2012	
2013	
2014	
2015	
2016	

Between 2012 and 2016, the percent of inco	ome-eligible households served		_ (increased /
decreased/remained the same) by	percentage points or	%.	

Question 6: Now that you have a general understanding of your state's LIHEAP program performance, please summarize the overall changes you see in your state's LIHEAP program in a few sentences.

We have examined the changes in your state's overall LIHEAP program performance. Now, we want to see if similar changes are happening to the low-income vulnerable population.

<u>Question 7:</u> Let's examine how vulnerable households in your state have been served. What changes do you see in the number of low-income households served for each of the vulnerable groups (elderly, disabled, young children) in 2012 and 2016? Round to the nearest thousand. How do these changes compare to the change in the number of overall low-income households served?

Fiscal Year	Households Receiving Heating Assistance – Elderly (in thousands)	Households Receiving Heating Assistance – Disabled (in thousands)	Households Receiving Heating Assistance – Young Child (in thousands)
2012			
2016			

Between 2012 and 2016 for lo	w-income elderly households, the number of households that received
heating assistance	(increased / decreased / remained the same) by
households or %. This	s was a (bigger / smaller / similar) change compared to all
low-income households served.	
•	w-income disabled households, the number of households that received
	(increased / decreased / remained the same) by
households or %. This	s was a (bigger / smaller / similar) change compared to all
low-income households served.	
•	i-income young child households, the number of households that received
heating assistance	(increased / decreased / remained the same) by
households or %. This	s was a (bigger / smaller / similar) change compared to all
low-income households served.	

Question 8: What changes do you see in the number of income-eligible households for each vulnerable group (elderly, disabled, young children)? Round to the nearest thousand. How do these changes compare to the change in the number of overall income-eligible households?

Fiscal Year	Number of Federally Income-Eligible Households – Elderly (in thousands)	Number of Federally Income-Eligible Households – Disabled (in thousands)	Number of Federally Income-Eligible Households – Young Child (in thousands)
2012			
2016			

Between		2016 for low-income elde creased / decreased /		•	
		s a (bigger			
	-eligible ho		, smaner , sminar, enang	ge compared to the over	an manneer
	(in	016 for low-income disab creased / decreased /	remained the same) by	/ hous	seholds or
		s a (bigger	/smaller/similar) chan	ge compared to the over	all number
of income	-eligible ho	useholds.			
	(in	016 for low-income young creased / decreased / s a (bigger useholds.	remained the same) by	/ hous	seholds or
vulnerabl	e group (eld	anges do you see in the p derly, disabled, young chi I income-eligible househo	ldren)? How do these ch		
	Fiscal Year	Percent of Federally Income-Eligible Households Served - Elderly	Percent of Federally Income-Eligible Households Served - Disabled	Percent of Federally Income-Eligible Households Served – Young Child	
	2012				
	2016				-
served points or _	%	2016 for low-income elde (increased / decreas 6. This was a gible households served.	sed / remained the same	e) by p	ercentage
served points or _	%	016 for low-income disalome (increased / decreased). This was agible households served.	sed / remained the same	e) by p	ercentage
served points or _	%	016 for low-income young (increased / decreas 6. This was a gible households served.	sed / remained the same	e) by p	ercentage

Question 10: Summarize the changes you see in how the state has served its low-income vulnerable populations. How do these changes compare to the changes you observed for the overall LIHEAP program? Why do you think these changes are happening?